HON'BLE SRI G.S.SINGHVI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE

WRIT PETITION No.9887 of 2001

Between:

M/s. A.A. Estates Pvt. Ltd.,
Secunderabad, represented by
its Managing Director. …Petitioner

And

B. Srinivasa Reddy and another. …
Respondents.

:: O R D E R ::

Counsel for the petitioner : Sri Vijay Bhaskar
for Sri C. Hanumantha Rao.

Counsel for respondent No.1 : None.

Counsel for respondent No.2 : None.

8th August, 2007

In this petition, the petitioner has made the following substantive prayers:

"…….it is, therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the 2nd respondent, Station House Officer is not entitled to interfere in the civil dispute, when the orders of the Hon'ble IVth Jr. Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad in I.A.No.533 of 2001 in O.S.No.2349 of 2001, dt.5-5-2001 are existing and declare the actions of the respondents are illegal, arbitrary and high handed in interfering in the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner flat Nos.101 and 102 of First Floor, Flat No.301 of IIIrd Floor of A-Block, Flat Nos.B-1, B-2, G-1 and G-2 of B-Block and Flat Nos.101, 102, 201, 202, 301 and 302 of C-Block situated in an area of 3735 sq.yds. equivalent to 3122.9 sq.mts. in Plot No.96 situated at Road No.2, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad and pass such other order or orders as this

Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

It is also just and necessary that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the 2nd respondent, Station House Officer, not to interfere in the civil dispute in respect of the petitioner flats No.101 and 102 of First Floor, Flat No.301 of III Floor of A-Block, Flat Nos.B-1, B-2, G-1 and G-2 of B-Block and Flat Nos.101, 102, 201, 202, 301 and 302 of C-Block situated in an area of 3735 sq.yds. equivalent to 3122.9 sq.mts. in Plot No.96 situated at Road No.2, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

Learned counsel for the petitioner says that the writ petition has become infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such. Ordered accordingly.

As a sequel to disposal of the writ petition as infructuous, interim order dated 16-5-2001 is vacated and WPMP.No.12420 of 2001 is dismissed.

G.S. SINGHVI, C.J.

8th August, 2007.

ARS